TRIPLET QUANTUM YIELD DETERMINATION BY PHOTOSENSITIZED OXYGENATION IN

THE PRESENCE OF HEAVY ATOM ADDITIVES: EOSIN IN METHANOL

 K laus Gollnick^{*} and Mohamed F.R. Fouda

Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität, Karlstr. 23, D-8000 München 2, Germany

Summary Relative rates of heavy-atom-enhanced photosensitized ${^\text{+}O_2}$ -reactions and relativ quantum efficiencies of heavy-atom-quenched fluorescence yield $\mathsf{O}\mathsf{P}$ = 0.66 $\mathsf{\pm}$ 0.03 for a $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ M methanolic solution of Eosin.

In the preceding paper $^{\mathrm{1}}$ we have described a rather simple procedure to obtain triplet quantum yields, $\phi_{\rm T}^0$, of molecules which are able to sensitize singlet oxygen reactions. The procedure makes use of the fact that heavy atom additives (Q) enhance the rate of oxygen consumption due to an increased production of triplet sensitizer molecules :

$$
s_1 \frac{+Q}{k_q} \cdot T_1 \cdot
$$

In the present paper further experimental evidence will be offered that the basic assumptions about the mechanism on which the procedure is based are sound.

Under certain (experimentally easily attainable) conditions $\frac{1}{1}$, under which triplet counting by energy transfer from the triplet sensitizer to ${}^{3}O_{2}$ to give singlet oxygen (${}^{1}O_{2}$) as well as trapping of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ by an acceptor like 2, 5-dimethylfuran are both quantitative, the rate of O_2 - consumption in a heavy-atom-free solution is given by

$$
v_0 = I_a k_t \tau_F^0
$$
 (equ.1)

whereas in the presence of heavy atom additives the rate is

$$
v_Q = I_a(k_t + k_q[Q])\tau_F^Q
$$
 (equ. 2)

with I_a = number of light quanta absorbed, τ_F^o = 1/($k_f + k_i + k_t$);

 $\tau_{\rm F}^{\rm Q}= 1/(k_{\rm f} + k_{\rm ic} + k_{\rm t} + k_{\rm q}$ [Q]), and $k_{\rm f}$, $k_{\rm ic}$, and $k_{\rm t}$ = rate constants of fluorescence internal conversion, and intersystem crossing, respectively.

Only measurements of relative rates v_0 / v_Q at various concentrations of the added heavy atom compounds are necessary to determine $\phi_{\text{T}}^{\text{o}}$, since

$$
(1 - v_0/v_Q)^{-1} = (1 - \phi_T^0)^{-1} (1 + k_t/k_q[Q]) \qquad (equ. 3)
$$

Figure 1 Plots of $\left(1-\rm{v_{O}}/\rm{v_{O}}\right)^{-1}$ vs. 1/[Q] for alkyl halide – enhanced photosensitized oxygenations of 2, 5-dimethylfuran ($[A]$ _{start} = 10^{-1} M) in methanol at 13° C

Sensitizer : Eosin,5 \cdot 10 $^{-5}$ M ; Light Source : Hg - high pressur lamp HPK 125 **W ,** Philips ; Filter: yellowish-colored glass (Glashütte Wertheim, Germany), cut-off at 373 nm.

 ϕ_T^0 is therefore easily determined from the intercept of a plot of $(1 - v_0/v_Q)^{-1}$ vs. $1/[Q]$ with the ordinate.

Figure 1 shows the results obtained for a $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ M methanolic solution of eosin at 13° C. Each point in the figure represents an average value of at least five runs; the accuracy is better than \pm 10%. The regression lines, and thus the intercepts (int₁) and slopes (sl_1) of Table 1, were obtained by the method of least squares. The average value determined from the intercepts is $\phi_{\rm T}^{\rm O}$ = 0.65 \pm 0.02.

Since
$$
k_t = \phi_T^o / \tau_F^o
$$
, we may rewrite equ. 3 into
\n $(1 - v_o / v_Q)^{-1} = (1 - \phi_T^o)^{-1} + \phi_T^o / k_q \tau_F^o (1 - \phi_T^o) [Q]$ (equ. 4)

Figure 2 Stern - Volmer plots of relative fluorescence quantum yields of $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ M eosin in methanol

Equ. 4 enables us to determine ϕ_T^0 not only from the intercept but also from the slope (sl₁) of a plot of $(1-v_{\text{o}}/v_{\text{Q}})^{-1}$ vs. $1/[\text{Q}]$, if $k_{\text{o}}\tau_{\text{F}}^{\text{o}}$ is known. This entity, how ever, is the slope of the well-known Stern-Volmer plot of relative fluorescence quantum yields, $\phi_{\overline{F}}^{\mathbf{O}} / \phi_{\overline{F}}^{\mathbf{Q}}$, vs. [Q], since

$$
\Phi_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{O}} / \Phi_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{Q}} = 1 + k_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{O}}[\mathbf{Q}] \qquad (\text{equ. 5})
$$

in which $\phi_{\rm F}^{\rm O}$ and $\phi_{\rm F}^{\rm Q}$ are the fluorescence quantum yields in the absence and in the presence of heavy atom quenchers **Q ,** respectively.

In order to obtain relative fluorescence quantum yields, we employed equ. 6 2

$$
\Phi_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathsf{O}} / \Phi_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathsf{Q}} = (\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}^{\mathsf{O}} / \mathbf{F}_{\lambda}^{\mathsf{Q}}) (\mathbf{A}_{\lambda}^{\mathsf{Q}} / \mathbf{A}_{\lambda}^{\mathsf{O}}) (\mathbf{n}_{\mathsf{O}}^2 / \mathbf{n}_{\mathsf{Q}}^2)
$$
 (equ. 6)

by measuring 1) the absorption $A_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $A_{\lambda}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ of eosin (5.10⁻⁵M) in pure methanol and in the presence of heavy atom additives at concentrations **[Q] ,** respectively, every 5 nm from λ = 380 nm to the end of the strong visible band, 2) the fluorescence intensity at the fluo-
rescence maximum at 555 nm in the absence (F_{$_{\lambda}$}) and in the presence (F_{$_{\lambda}$}) of Q by va rying the excitation wavelength every 5 nm from λ = 380 to λ = 515 nm, and 3) the refractive indices n_0 and n_0 in the absence and in the presence of Q, respectively. The absorption spectrum of eosin in methanol remained practically unaltered; the absorption maximum is slightly shifted from 521 nm (pure methanol) to 525 nm at the highest Q - concentrations of CC1₄ and 1-bromobutane employed. By the procedure described, $\phi_{\mathbf{F}}/\phi_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{Q}}$ was found to be independent of the exciting wavelength , i.e. the value did not change by more than \div 10% . The values of relative fluorescence quantum yields in Figure 2 thus represen mean values from 28 single determinations at a given concentration of **Q .** The regression values were obtained by the method of least squares.

The resulting slopes (sl_2) from Figure 2 are given in Table 1. $\phi_{\text{T}}^{\text{o}}$ may now be

Q	Intercept Slope,	int_1 a) sl_1 a)	$\phi_{\rm T}^{\rm o \, b}$	$\frac{\text{Slope}}{\text{sl}_2^{\text{c}}}$	$\phi_{\rm T}^{\rm o~d}$	$\phi_{\rm T}^{\rm o}$ e)
chloroform carbon tetrachloride 1-bromobutane	2.65 2.93 2.98	9.43 13.03 3.62	0.62 0.66 0.66	0.14 0.60	0.65 0.69	0.62 0.73

Table 1 Intercepts, Slopes, and Triplet Quantum Yields Obtained for Eosin (5 \cdot 10⁻⁵ M) in Methanol at 13[°]C

a) from Fig. 1 b) calc. from int_1 c) from Fig. 2 d) calc. with equ. 7

e) calc. with equ. 8

calculated either from the two slopes sl_1 and sl_2 since $\phi_{\tau}^{\circ} = sl_1 \cdot sl_2/(1 + sl_1 \cdot sl_2)$ (equ. 7) or from the two slopes and the intercept int₁ since $\phi_{\rm T}^0 = s l_1 \cdot s l_2 / i n t_1$ (equ. 8) $\phi_{\text{TP}}^{\text{o}} = 0.67 \pm 0.03$ and $\phi_{\text{TP}}^{\text{o}} = 0.68 \pm 0.08$, respectively, are obtained.

 $\Phi_{\text{F}}^{\text{ex}}$ v_o since Another possibility to demonstrate the results is to plot $\phi_{\overline{F}}^o / \phi_{\overline{F}}^Q$ vs. $\phi_{\overline{F}}^o$ v_Q /

$$
\Phi_{\rm F}^{\rm o} / \Phi_{\rm F}^{\rm Q} = \Phi_{\rm T}^{\rm o} \left[\Phi_{\rm F}^{\rm o} v_{\rm Q} / \Phi_{\rm F}^{\rm Q} v_{\rm o} - 1 \right] + 1 \qquad \qquad \text{(equ.)}^3
$$

The slope of such a plot yields $\phi_{\text{T}}^{\text{o}} = 0.66 \pm 0.01$.

The agreement between the values of ϕ_{τ}° determined solely from the relative rates of O_2 -consumptions and those obtained by the additional determination of relative fluorescence quantum yields is taken as further evidence that the method described is based on sound assumptions about the mechanism of photosensitized oxygenation reactions as well as about the mode of action of heavy atom additives.

A detailed discussion on the value of $\phi_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{O}}$ of eosin in methanol will appear elsewhere \cdot , It should be mentioned that the mean value of $\phi_{m} = 0.66 \pm 0.03$ for eosin obtained here does not agree with our earlier value of 0.3 $^{\,5\,}$ which, however, was determined at higher eosin concentrations (3.3 \cdot 10⁻⁴ M) in methanol at 20°C where concentration quenching $^6\,$ may come into play.

Acknowledgment Support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Bonn - Bad Godesberg, and by Fonds der Chemischen Industrie, Frankfurt/Main, is gratefully acknowledged,

References

- 1, K.Gollnick, T.Franken, and M.F.R.Fouda, Tetrahedron Letters, preceding paper.
- 2. C.A.Parker, Photoluminescence of Solutions, Elsevier Publ.Co., Amsterdam 1968.
- 3. F. Wilkinson, Organic Molecular Photophysics, Vol. II, p. 95, J.B. Birks, Ed. Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1975:
- 4. K. Gollnick and M. **F .** R. F ouda, to be published.
- $5.$ K.Gollnick and G.O.Schenck, Pure Appl. Chem., 9 , 507 (1964).
- 6. T.Förster, Fluoreszenz organischer Verbindungen, Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht Göttingen 1951. -

(Received in Germany 21 April 1981)